



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/426,644	1	0/25/1999	JAE-HO MOON	1349.1022/MD	2168	
21171	7590	11/13/2002				
STAAS & I				EXAMINER		
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				TUGBANG, ANTHONY D		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3729 DATE MAILED: 11/13/2012		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			O.M.
'	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/426,644	MOON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dexter Tugbang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2002 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to	a n in
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or I	o)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a)	expire later than SIX MONTHS from the Y WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHE. The date on which the petition und	ne mailing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropris	e MPEP
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the peee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration d. 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Se	ate of the shortened statutory period ne Office later than three months afte	for reply originally set in the final Office	ce action: or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37) 			
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see N		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applica issues for appeal; and/or	tion in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simpl	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Attachment.		• •	
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	vould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		n considered but does NOT p	ace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		LELY to issues which were ne	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended claim			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-16,21,24,27,30 and 42</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,17,19,23,38 and 40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 31,44	.45 and 47.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat		•	
10. Other:	Sinsing of the transfer about	1/12	7/
		14/1/h)	'you
		A. DEXTER TUG	BANG

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/426,644

Art Unit: 3729

Attachment to Advisory Action

In Claims 17 and 23, the new limitations added to each narrow the scope of the claims, raising new issues and requiring further consideration by the examiner.

Page 2